

Forum:[Pheromone Reviews - Real People - Real Reviews](#)

Thread: [Paradox's review of Erox](#)

Paradox - September 10, 2011, 1:39 pm

As many of you know I am a straight shooter when it comes to pheromone reviews. It is not my intention to upset anyone but well....I tell it like it is.

Here are my impressions of Erox: First, starting with the packaging. It's very feminine. It looks like lipstick.

I tried Erox in several locations. I have also tested it at several different strengths (2, 3, 4 and 5 sprays). The bottom line on this product is that it reminds me of MX297.

To give a little background, when MX297 first came out many posters reviewed it as sexual in nature. I was one of the few posters who revealed it to be non sexual.

The self effects of Erox are nice. It puts you in an upbeat mood.

The fragrance is nice it had a grapefruit and watermelon type of fragrance to it. It's not too strong.

I've received various reactions from this mix including hair flips, fast head turning and a few women following the sillage to see where the scent is coming from. The last reaction is probably the most prevalent.

The Estratetraenol does counteract the effects of the Androstadienone. However, here is the problem with Erox and it's a big one. Estratetraenol is a derivative of the sex hormone estradiol. "Estratetraenol is produced naturally by child-bearing women and is not present at all in men. It has a calming effect on both men and women, and will tend to make people more amiable, solicitous and friendly around you" (<http://estratetraenol.com/>).

A man wearing a pregnant womans scent is not good hence the MX297 effect. Yes, Erox attracts but I believe that the Estratetraenol prevents sexual interaction. The Androstadienone and the ER303 are definitely hard at work in this formula.

There are a few other things that I observed about Erox. One was that this formula has no BANG, ZOOM, POW. Erox does not have a hard hitter at the wheel. Women will not open you with this formula. You have to do the work. They will talk to you but they will not interact sexually with a "pregnant woman".

I have also found the range to be limited. The range for this product is probably 3 feet max. Once you are in range however they will try and track down the source of the scent.

This product does give women the feeling of caring but not sexual caring. It's more like watching over the pregnant lady and making sure she is OK.

I would like to try this product out from 6-10 sprays to see if anything changes. Due to my extensive testing I am almost out of the product.

I think I know how to improve this product (PM me crowdgatherer if you are interested in finding out

how). For me right now, it is too similar to MX297 to be useful.

JAYCEE - September 10, 2011, 2:01 pm

When you say MX297 effect, do you mean it puts you in the friend zone?

Paradox - September 10, 2011, 2:13 pm

(09-10-2011 9:01 AM)JAYCEE Wrote: [](#)When you say MX297 effect, do you mean it puts you in the friend zone?

No, It doesn't create the friend zone effect like MX297. There is attraction but the attraction is offset by the Estratetraenol. I believe the Estratetraenol makes people care about you but not in any kind of intimate manner. It's like they are "looking out for you"...for the moment.

MX297 has a hard hitting friend effect, EroX does not have this effect.

crowdgather - September 10, 2011, 3:03 pm

I am glad that you received your sample and I appreciate your perspective on the effects!

dbot - September 10, 2011, 4:34 pm

It's interesting that you feel like the est is killing attraction. Have you had this experience with other est-containing products as well? It seems like est can be very attractive in some mixes...

Paradox - September 10, 2011, 4:56 pm

Hey Dbot,

I have not had experience with other products containing Estratetraenol but think about it.

If you were a man who smelled like a pregnant woman...would a woman find you sexually attractive?

I came to the conclusion that something was throwing EroX off but I couldn't figure out what. I thought that it may have been dosing so I tested it at 2,3,4 and 5 sprays and I got consistent results at 3,4 and 5 sprays.

I could see the attraction but then women seemed to stop in their tracks or be attracted and not open approach. They would close in on the scent but they would see me and seem to be a little confused.

I did some research on Estratetraenol and said to myself. Wow! No wonder! I smell like a pregnant woman!

I do have experience with Androstadienone and have found it to be great in mixes.

Dbot to me the Androstadienone and the ER303 are definitely working in the mix. Something is throwing it off and preventing it from really being a great mix. I assume it's the Estratetraenol because it's unusual and highly unlikely that a man would give off an estrogen based smell...Especially a scent that is normally given off by a pregnant woman.

In doing further research when the Estratetraenol was increased in the Wingman black formula it ceased to be as effective as it was previously.

crowdgather - September 10, 2011, 4:58 pm

I just looked over the estratetraenol.com site and there is very little science based information on their which is unfortunate. Estratetraenol was first identified in the urine of pregnant females, but it

is not a signal of pregnancy. It is produced in female sweat at all times, but because it is derived from estradiol, the concentrations will increase during estrus and pregnancy because levels of estradiol. Women are sensitive to the odor, but there is very little brain activation in women from EST. This is reversed with men who do not have any olfactory perception of EST but do experience hypothalamic stimulation from it.

Women may smell EST in Erox, but it is a stretch to claim that it puts them in the friend zone because they think the wearer is a pudgy pregnant woman.

The truth is that very few studies have been conducted, but the few that have been published show minimal effect on women. Pheromone fragrance manufacturers seem to tease effects out of simple phrases within studies and these are then echoed and treated as gospel.

That does not mean that Paradox's review is inaccurate by any means- Erox may work through comfort provided by the base pheromones and this comfort may not be enough to promote a sexual response, but the real question I have is about the ER303 and what that does towards moving from comfort to sexuality?

In the seduction community the cycle is to build rapport, escalate using touch, and then after spending 8 to 12 hours with your target (in several different locations) you should have her comfortable enough to close the deal. If Erox can reduce the time it takes to build comfort- it could be valuable to a certain group of users who are familiar with how to cross over from rapport building to physical intimacy using kino.

I find all of these opinions to be fascinating and I thank you so much for sharing so willingly!

Deja Vu - September 10, 2011, 5:22 pm

(09-10-2011 11:56 AM)Paradox Wrote: [](#)Hey Dbot,

I have not had experience with other products containing Estratetraenol but think about it.

If you were a man who smelled like a pregnant woman...would a woman find you sexually attractive?

I came to the conclusion that something was throwing Erox off but I couldn't figure out what. I thought that it may have been dosing so I tested it at 2,3,4 and 5 sprays and I got consistent results at 3,4 and 5 sprays.

I could see the attraction but then women seemed to stop in their tracks or be attracted and not open approach. They would close in on the scent but they would see me and seem to be a little confused.

I did some research on Estratetraenol and said to myself. Wow! No wonder! I smell like a pregnant woman!

I do have experience with Androstadienone and have found it to be great in mixes.

Dbot to me the Androstadienone and the ER303 are definitely working in the mix. Something is throwing it off and preventing it from really being a great mix. I assume it's the Estratetraenol because it's unusual and highly unlikely that a man would give off an estrogen based smell...Especially a scent that is normally given off by a pregnant woman.

In doing further research when the Estratetraenol was increased in the Wingman black formula it

ceased to be as effective as it was previously.

Hi Paradox, great observations and I like the direct no-bullshit report!

However, I have to disagree with you on the EST a "pregnant woman" mone. I have been using Wingman series which contains a great deal of EST and none of them sends out the signal that I am pregnant. I find that you might put too much weight on the research that you did - which I researched on earlier and find their sources not very credible, marketing products such as PheromoneAdvantage (smells like PherX :hang3.

Also, what do you mean by: "give women the feeling of caring but not sexual caring"? If the woman is showing care over you I feel that it is a great opportunity to escalate their interest. Do you mean that they are instead showing "motherly love" towards you? Is there any specific example that you can share with us on your observations? Thanks for the insight!

dbot - September 10, 2011, 6:13 pm

My feeling is that ER303 is a brand new molecule. The research that shows it enhancing sexual feelings looks good, but mones are complicated and study designs have to be relatively simple, so the real effects of the new molecule are really just being figured out.

I've tested est in a variety of mixes, and I know for sure that it can work in a sexually arousing mix. Just try True Love!

Crowdgather - is there any chance you could give us a general idea of the amount of Androstadienone & est in Erox, to make it easier for us to tease out the effects of the new molecule?

Paradox - September 10, 2011, 6:37 pm

Crowdgather,

The science of pheromones is a new and ignored field in the scientific community. This is why there are so many false claims by product producers. This is also why Pherotruth is here. People want the truth about the products that they use.

Many so-called studies about the effects of pheromones are sponsored by the producers themselves. We in the community do know that pheromones work.

To tell you the truth I am not exactly sure what is holding the Erox formula back from being great. IMHO an adjusted, rebalanced Erox formula can be a great hit.

As far as 8-12 hours with your target building Kino...I say that is BS. There are other products on here and reports where guys get IOI's and are approached within minutes of entering a venue. I would say that if they had good pickup skills that they could close with no problem...with other products.

I could probably even F*close with A314...in less than 4 hours if I really put my mind to it.

I myself have F*closed many times with Lure. When I ran out of it and went looking for it I stumbled into the world of pheromones.

I really, really think that Erox is onto something. I understand you ordered 10,000 samples but....well for me THIS Erox version is not it.

crowdgather - September 10, 2011, 6:55 pm

I appreciate your views and I am learning from all of your opinions.

as33156 - September 10, 2011, 7:53 pm

I don't know about 8 to 12 hours to build rapport/comfort with a girl. That's a long time. I could do that without mones in way less time. It takes Androstadienone only 15 minutes max at 30mcg & up to build comfort I find.

Pagodeiro - September 10, 2011, 8:15 pm

I've noticed that EDG (Eau de Geek) is more kinda daytime product ..

I've seen it hitting up to 5m

when overdosed .. this means over 5 sprays it had some typical repulsive Effects !

Est works like a charm on women ... there are ton's of reports out ..

Pago

crowdgather - September 10, 2011, 8:19 pm

It was not my intention to start an argument with my reference to seduction lore. I was simply referring to things I have read that suggest that you need to establish comfort before you escalate to seduction. I am referring to getting your target comfortable for sex which is different than getting your target comfortable in talking to you and spending time with you. This should occur very quickly if you are attractive, your target is looking for company, or if you are using good technique or pheromones. I threw out 8 to 12 hours, but this paragraph (Mystery Method) speaks of 4 to 10:

"This means that, on a cold approach (where it's someone you meet at a coffee shop as opposed to your sister's best friend), you generally need between 4 and 10 hours of interacting with her before sex can occur. This can be over multiple days (you spend an hour with her when you first meet her, and then at least three hours with her the next day . . . that may be enough). But don't lose sight of the clock. Before 4 hours, she likely won't be "ready" and you'll run into insurmountable last-minute resistance in Seduction. After 10 hours, and it will feel awkward and creepy to her, since you didn't have the balls to make a move when you had the chance, and, anyway, by now she's gotten so used to your non-sexual presence that all of the attraction/sexual tension has dissipated. The 4-10 principle (average around 7) is crucial -- though there are exceptions, you'll be amazed at how often it applies."

My thought was simply that increasing a woman's sense of trust and comfort more rapidly could be a good thing to people who subscribe to the above techniques. I am not denying that seduction sometimes happens more rapidly, or that there are lots of variables involved.

as33156 - September 10, 2011, 8:19 pm

(09-10-2011 3:15 PM)Pagodeiro Wrote: [](#)I've noticed that EDG (Eau de Geek) is more kinda daytime product ..

I've seen it hitting up to 5m

when overdosed .. this means over 5 sprays it had some typical repulsive Effects !

Estratetraenol works like a charm on women ... there are ton's of reports out ..

Pago

Can you please forward your dosage levels and where you got this from? I have know ideal what it

is. But if it has range of 5m WOW! Androstadienone is great but doesn't have anywhere near the range I find.

Pagodeiro - September 10, 2011, 8:23 pm

(09-10-2011 3:19 PM)crowdgather Wrote: [](#)It was not my intention to start an argument with my reference to seduction lore. I was simply referring to things I have read that suggest that you need to establish comfort before you escalate to seduction. I am referring to getting your target comfortable for sex which is different than getting your target comfortable in talking to you and spending time with you. This should occur very quickly if you are attractive, your target is looking for company, or if you are using good technique or pheromones. I threw out 8 to 12 hours, but this paragraph (Mystery Method) speaks of 4 to 10:

"This means that, on a cold approach (where it's someone you meet at a coffee shop as opposed to your sister's best friend), you generally need between 4 and 10 hours of interacting with her before sex can occur. This can be over multiple days (you spend an hour with her when you first meet her, and then at least three hours with her the next day . . . that may be enough). But don't lose sight of the clock. Before 4 hours, she likely won't be "ready" and you'll run into insurmountable last-minute resistance in Seduction. After 10 hours, and it will feel awkward and creepy to her, since you didn't have the balls to make a move when you had the chance, and, anyway, by now she's gotten so used to your non-sexual presence that all of the attraction/sexual tension has dissipated. The 4-10 principle (average around 7) is crucial -- though there are exceptions, you'll be amazed at how often it applies."

My thought was simply that increasing a woman's sense of trust and comfort more rapidly could be a good thing to people who subscribe to the above techniques. I am not denying that seduction sometimes happens more rapidly, or that there are lots of variables involved.

nothing is eaten as hot as it's cooked ... ;)

sometimes it happens faster to close the deal.. but mostly it takes a bit time !

Pago

Rattlesnake - September 10, 2011, 8:31 pm

Hmm interesting to think of it as caring in the same way you'd care for a pregnant woman. Personally, I got the crush vibe. Same experience with wingman X22.

I've not used either to move towards sexual intimacy yet, but it'll be easy to see if its cock blocks or not. More testing is needed!

crowdgather - September 10, 2011, 8:43 pm

Women barely respond to EST so your bigger worry is that men think of you as a woman The EST is primarily there to assist females, but again EST and AND are just base components, but the star is ER303 which has shown an ability to increase arousal.

I wish I was single so I could see for myself, but I will just enjoy living through all of your experiences.

Paradox - September 10, 2011, 9:19 pm

(09-10-2011 3:43 PM)crowdgather Wrote: [](#)Women barely respond to Estratetraenol so your bigger worry is that men think of you as a woman The Estratetraenol is primarily there to assist females, but again Estratetraenol and AND are just base components, but the star is ER303 which has shown an ability to increase arousal.

I wish I was single so I could see for myself, but I will just enjoy living through all of your experiences.

This is untrue I can point you to a womans Pheromone forum where they discuss their experiences with Estratetraenol.

Crowdgather, I know you feel the need to defend your patented products. After all if there is no demand for Erox, Estratetraenol or A-1 then it would mean a loss of income.

A loss of income is something I do not want for you or your company. It could be that your product would "function" better with less Estratetraenol or with a substitute or with other pheromones added in.

crowdgather - September 10, 2011, 11:37 pm

LOL I am not worried about income in this conversation. I am just trying to balance your opinions on the effects of EST with what I can find in the public domain. You are free to do what you like with your money and I have thanked you a few times already for sharing your feelings. If you intend to argue and bully me until I change the formula then lets agree to disagree and move on. I understand that You would prefer not to have EST in the formulation- I get it.

Paradox - September 11, 2011, 12:06 am

(09-10-2011 6:37 PM)crowdgather Wrote: [](#)LOL I am not worried about income in this conversation. I am just trying to balance your opinions on the effects of Estratetraenol with what I can find in the public domain. You are free to do what you like with your money and I have thanked you a few times already for sharing your feelings. If you intend to argue and bully me until I change the formula then lets agree to disagree and move on. I understand that You would prefer not to have Estratetraenol in the formulation- I get it.

Not my intention to bully anyone. Just reviewing a product. To be honest, I don't know what's wrong with the product. It could be anything or nothing. It could be that it just doesn't work for me.

I was just testing and reviewing a product. I also offered what I thought was helpful information.

crowdgather - September 11, 2011, 12:27 am

I appreciate your feedback. I would also love links to the place you mentioned where women talked about how much EST effected them. I know they wear products which contain EST in order to stimulate men, but I would be intrigued to see what strong effects EST has on women since I have never heard or seen anything that would suggest more than a nominal impact on women.

Paradox - September 11, 2011, 12:37 am

(09-10-2011 7:27 PM)crowdgather Wrote: [](#)I appreciate your feedback. I would also love links to the place you mentioned where women talked about how much Estratetraenol effected them. I know they wear products which contain Estratetraenol in order to stimulate men, but I would be intrigued to see what strong effects Estratetraenol has on women since I have never heard or seen anything that would suggest more than a nominal impact on women.

Androtics direct has a womens forum in which they discuss how pheromones affect them. Other Pheromone companies also host forums where members (male and female) discuss pheromones. Just type Estratetraenol in the forum search box. You may not pull up all posts since some members use the abbreviation for Est.

Pagodeiro - September 11, 2011, 2:30 am

It's worth a read

eye opening adventures of MadisonTate (the legendary Mad Tater) with EST

<http://www.pheromonetalk.com/mens-journals/mad-tater-journal-5793-53.html>

at Page 53 is where it all starts !

Pago

crowdgather - September 11, 2011, 3:34 am

Thanks for the info guys!